

RECORDED

JUN 13 2022

Doc# 2022060011

Clatsop County  
Board of Commissioners  
Minutes  
Wednesday, April 27, 2022

---

REGULAR MEETING: 6:00 PM

ROLL CALL

PRESENT

Commissioner Courtney Bangs  
Commissioner John Toyooka  
Commissioner Pam Wev  
Vice Chair Lianne Thompson  
Chair Mark Kujala

AGENDA APPROVAL

Chair Kujala stated that Consent Calendar Item 8 could be removed, as the Commission had taken action on it at the last meeting.

*Motion made by Vice Chair Thompson, Seconded by Commissioner Toyooka to approve the agenda as amended.*

*Voting Yea: Commissioner Bangs, Commissioner Toyooka, Vice Chair Thompson, Chair Kujala*

No vote by Commissioner Wev as she had not yet arrived.

PROCLAMATION

1. Asian American and Pacific Islander Heritage Month Proclamation {Page 3}

Sheryl Holcom, Elections Technician, provided background information about celebrating May as Asian American and Pacific Islander Heritage Month. She also shared demographic and historical data about Asian Americans and Pacific Islanders in the Pacific Northwest and Clatsop County.

*Motion made by Vice Chair Thompson, Seconded by Commissioner Toyooka to approve the Resolution and Order proclaiming May 2022 as Asian American and Pacific Islander Heritage Month, and authorize the Chair to read and sign the proclamation.*

*Voting Yea: Commissioner Bangs, Commissioner Toyooka, Commissioner Wev, Vice Chair Thompson, Chair Kujala*

Chair Kujala read the Proclamation.

2. Provider Appreciation Day Proclamation {Page 6}

RECORDED  
JUN 15 2022

1 Eva Manderson, Northwest Regional Child Care Resources and Referral, briefly  
2 provided information about her organization and childcare services in Clatsop  
3 County.

4 *Motion made by Vice Chair Thompson, Seconded by Commissioner Bangs to*  
5 *approve the Resolution and Order proclaiming May 2022 as Provider*  
6 *Appreciation Day, and authorize the Chair to read and sign the proclamation.*  
7 *Voting Yea: Commissioner Bangs, Commissioner Toyooka, Commissioner Wev,*  
8 *Vice Chair Thompson, Chair Kujala*

9 Chair Kujala read the Proclamation.  
10

## 11 **BUSINESS FROM THE PUBLIC**

12 There was no Business from the Public.

## 13 **CONSENT CALENDAR**

14 Item 8 was removed from the Consent Calendar during Agenda Approval.

15 *Motion made by Vice Chair Thompson, Seconded by Commissioner Wev to approve*  
16 *the Consent Calendar.*  
17 *Voting Yea: Commissioner Bangs, Commissioner Toyooka, Commissioner Wev, Vice*  
18 *Chair Thompson, Chair Kujala*  
19

- 20 3. Board of Commissioners Minutes 3-9-22 {Page 8}  
21 4. Board of Commissioners Minutes 3-23-22 {Page 13}  
22 5. Approve the 2021-22 Budget and Appropriation Adjustments {Page 17}  
23 6. Approve increase to On-Call Services contract with P&L Johnson Mechanical  
24 Inc. {Page 21}  
25 7. Contract for Bulk Fuel Purchase {Page 28}  
26 8. ~~Appeal of Planning Commission Decision — Velazquez CUP {Page 42}~~  
27 9. Jail Relocation Project – Guaranteed Maximum Price (GMP) Contract -  
28 Amendment #4 {Page 118}  
29 10. 2022-23 Assessment and Taxation CAFFA Grant Application {Page 136}  
30 11. Award of Contract for 820 Exchange St. Exterior Building Maintenance {Page  
31 140}

## 32 **COMMISSIONER'S LIAISON REPORTS**

33 Commissioner Thompson reported that she sent the County Manager a status report on  
34 the Water Roundtable. She also reported that an affordable workforce housing  
35 development would be built of mass plywood panels.

36 Commissioner Wev reported that the Housing Authority had closed on the Trillium  
37 House property, located in the Chelsea Gardens area of Warrenton. Groundbreaking  
38 would be in about a month, after pilings have been installed. The development would



1 provide 42 units with affordable housing. Clatsop Behavioral Health would have a full-  
2 time presence on the property. She reported that she had made a presentation to the  
3 Astoria City Council about the Commission's affordable housing initiatives and housing  
4 for homeless, and the Housing Authority presented on their renovation of the Owens  
5 Adair property. She also reported that extra funds for workforce development had been  
6 made available by the Legislature. The Northwest Oregon Workforce Board would meet  
7 in Clatsop County in June.

8 Commissioner Toyooka reported that he was involved with the National Association of  
9 Counties Veterans Affairs Committee. He also reported that he had participated in a lot  
10 of discussion on the short-term rental ordinance amendments.

11 Commissioner Bangs reported that the Fair Board would be meeting on May 3<sup>rd</sup> and the  
12 meeting would be open to public comments. She reported that she had not known the  
13 Commission was meeting with the Astoria City Council, giving them a presentation, or  
14 having any official conversations with them.

15 Chair Kujala reported that the Budget Committee had its first meeting earlier that day.  
16 Staff gave a very informative presentation and he appreciated the work that Staff had  
17 done. He also reported that representatives of the Oregon Department of Fish and  
18 Wildlife met in Clatsop County last week to tour the net pens and fisheries.

## 20 **COUNTY MANAGER'S REPORT**

21 County Manager Bohn reported that the Astoria City Council had requested that the  
22 County provide them with background information on the County's Request for  
23 Expression of Interest (RFEI) process and other work the County had been doing with  
24 cities. The County has published an RFEI in the development of County-owned land.  
25 Staff hoped the cities and County partners would look at the list of sites to see if any  
26 were suitable for affordable housing. He also reported that a City County Elected  
27 Officials meetings would be on May 18<sup>th</sup> to discuss affordable housing challenges and  
28 homelessness.

## 29 **PUBLIC HEARINGS**

### 30 12. Ordinance 22-03: Short-Term Rental Revisions – Clatsop County Code {Page 31 188}

32 Director Henrikson presented the Staff report on the Code amendments to the  
33 operating standards for short-term rentals (STRs) in areas outside of  
34 incorporated areas.

35 Commissioner Bangs stated that when good neighbor ordinances like this one do  
36 not work, do not resolve issues, or need improvement, amendments can be  
37 made as things change over time.

38 Chair Kujala opened the public hearing and called for public comments.

39 Monica Wellington, 38657 NW Harrison Rd., Banks, said after reading the public  
40 comments, it was clear that the previous recommendations did not consider all  
41 stakeholders. Many people have expressed a desire to keep STRs and for the

1 few bad apples to be dealt with individually. Taking STRs away from existing  
2 owners is unfair. Many owners have made significant financial investments and  
3 the expectation was previously set that the owners would be able to manage  
4 their homes as STRs. She had continued to discuss these Code amendments  
5 with her full-time neighbors. She believed that as long as she was a good  
6 steward of her property, she had the right as a property owner to allow people to  
7 stay in her home. She supported updates to the operational standards and she  
8 believed that these updates, along with better application and monitoring, would  
9 help achieve a balance between the owners and full-time residents. She hoped  
10 that the Board had reviewed the data that has objectively determined that the  
11 removal of 100 STRs would not move the needle on affordable housing. Most of  
12 these homes would not be sold or rented to people making median wages. She  
13 also supported a regular cadence of discussion and updating the Code as  
14 needed.

15 Nancy Chase, 3026 NE 22<sup>nd</sup> Ave., Portland, said this process had been  
16 exhausting for her. She asked the County to pause on the regulations and  
17 consider her suggested changes. Her goal was public safety and livability, not to  
18 wear down STR owners. She believed the Code should state that anonymous  
19 complaints would not be taken by the hotline because she wanted the  
20 opportunity to respond to complaints and anonymous complaints waste peoples'  
21 time. The Code should also clarify inheritance rights of the permit, as well as  
22 what types of complaints must be responded to. The only complaint she had  
23 received in 30 years was that she only had one bedroom and therefore was over  
24 occupancy. However, she actually has two bedrooms so there was nothing for  
25 her to respond to. She asked the Commission to read the penalties and  
26 violations. She believed suspending permits would negatively impact tourism,  
27 guests and housekeepers.

28 Anthony Stewart said he was speaking on behalf of Sheri Ralston and Justin  
29 Casani who own 90073 Ocean Dr., Warrenton. They supported strengthening  
30 rules and regulations by which STR operators must adhere. There have been no  
31 complaints related to renting out the home and they believed the proposed rules  
32 would result in better reporting and monitoring by the County. They agreed that  
33 the STR permit should be transferrable under certain circumstances. Additionally,  
34 a wholesale reduction or removal of STRs would not be appropriate.

35 Greg Allen, 92771 Timmerman Rd., Astoria, said he owned property just east of  
36 Astoria that would be negatively affected by the proposed zone change. The  
37 County's efforts to restrict STRs stem from complaints in densely populated  
38 areas to the south of the county. Efforts to correct the problems should be  
39 restricted to the areas where the problems are occurring. The County's economy  
40 significantly relies on tourism and restricting the number of STRs will immediately  
41 impact the food, entertainment, and hospitality sectors. Difficulties with STRs  
42 should be addressed where they occur rather than by eliminating a broad section  
43 of STRs. A significant portion of STR guests are traveling professionals who  
44 come to the area to fill in at clinics and hospitals because there is a lack of  
45 housing for people working in medical and business services. It is unfair to



1 eliminate housing that people have established in good faith because of  
2 difficulties in some areas. Considerable investments have been made in those  
3 properties and broad changes would cause economic hardships. Clatsop County  
4 would be shooting itself in the foot by eliminating a significant source of revenue.

5 Beth Radich said the Commission had a job to do and a lot of research and  
6 analysis had been done. Even though everyone had feelings and issues, this  
7 was about the zoning. Everyone signed up for the zoning and some of the  
8 businesses could thrive in places that support them. There are also a lot of  
9 places where those businesses would not fit. This is why zoning exists and why  
10 problems arise when commercial activities happen in residential neighborhoods.

11 County Counsel Lyons-Antley clarified that all of the zoning portions had been  
12 removed from the proposed ordinances, as directed by the Board. The  
13 ordinances deal with operating standards and address Arch Cape's standards.

14 Tim Lyman, 33210 Sunset Beach Ln., Warrenton, said he had lived in Clatsop  
15 County for more than 50 years as a part-time resident and for five years as a full-  
16 time resident. He was also a trustee of Clatsop Community College. He was  
17 opposed to the proposed ordinance. The ordinance was supposed to address  
18 problems with STRs, but the problems have not been correctly defined.  
19 Eliminating all of the STRs when 98% of all complaints originate in one  
20 neighborhood is akin to shutting down every convenience store in the County  
21 because one store has a history of selling alcohol and cigarettes to minors. He  
22 suspected that all of the complaints were on a single digit number of landlords  
23 and a single digit number of complainers. There have been 71 complaints over  
24 almost four years, 70 of which center around the same people. The County does  
25 not have an STR problem; it has a problem with about a dozen idiots. Those  
26 dozen idiots are the problem that needs to be addressed, not the 190 well-  
27 maintained, well-run good neighbors.

28 Chair Kujala closed the public hearing.

29 Commissioner Toyooka stated he had received 307 emails about STRs and 64%  
30 were in support of retaining STRs. Of the 36% in opposition, only 21% were  
31 unique emails. The majority of the emails on both sides addressed operating  
32 standards and enforcement.

33 Commissioner Bangs said the emails she received resulted in numbers similar to  
34 Commissioner Toyooka's. An overabundance of emails shared great  
35 experiences with STRs. This ordinance does not state whether STRs would exist  
36 or not, it is a good neighbor policy for how the STRs should operate and how the  
37 County would handle issues.

38 *Motion made by Vice Chair Thompson, Seconded by Commissioner Toyooka*  
39 *approve Ordinance 22-03 and conduct the second reading by title only.*  
40 *Voting Yea: Commissioner Bangs, Commissioner Toyooka, Commissioner Wev,*  
41 *Vice Chair Thompson, Chair Kujala*

42 County Counsel Lyons-Antley conducted the second reading of the ordinance.

43 13. Ordinance 22-01: Short-Term Rental Revisions - LAWDUC {Page 208}

1 Director Henrikson presented the Staff report on the proposed revisions to the  
2 Land and Water Development Use Code. She noted that no zoning changes  
3 were included in the ordinance. Zoning would be discussed at a work session on  
4 May 18<sup>th</sup>.

5 Chair Kujala opened the public hearing.

6 Carol Harn, 79238 Ray Brown Rd., Cove Beach, said she and her husband  
7 bought their home over two years ago with the intention of using it as a vacation  
8 rental and then retiring in Cove Beach. She asked the Commission to vote  
9 against the ordinance. Eliminating 103 STR permits in unincorporated Clatsop  
10 County would not solve the housing crisis. Cove Beach should not be singled out  
11 simply because a few locals are against STRs. The claim that the homes could  
12 be used for affordable housing assumes that a majority of vacation rental owners  
13 would be willing to repurpose their homes. She uses her home all the time and  
14 she was not an absent STR owner. Cove Beach is full of million dollar homes  
15 and the rents these homes would capture would be well out of the range of  
16 affordable housing values. STRs also allow people to who cannot afford to  
17 purchase a way to experience the coast for a weekend. She lawfully rented her  
18 home and she asked the Commission to uphold her rights as a property owner.  
19 Reasonable regulations would ensure the public has access to beaches, coastal  
20 homes, and that the character of neighborhoods is honored.

21 Charles Dice, 31911 Clatsop Ln., Cove Beach, suggested the Commission  
22 create a taskforce to look at the affordable housing data and the impact of STRs  
23 on affordable housing and residents' property rights in residential zones.

24 Beth Radich, Arch Cape, stated she did not want to be prohibited from talking  
25 about zoning because she had prepared to discuss zoning. She wanted to know  
26 why the ordinance was changed. There is a lot of data available that indicates  
27 that STRs eat up the residential housing supply and drives up housing costs. She  
28 would be studying that more. She asked how many comments and emails were  
29 from voters and residents. She understood the value to the tourism industry, but  
30 also valued a livable residential community and affordable housing. She wanted  
31 her tax dollars to be spent efficiently. There are 100 houses being misused as  
32 commercial businesses. All of the surrounding municipalities have upheld  
33 residential zoning and supported STRs in the zoning where it is allowed.

34 Nancy Chase, 3026 NE 22<sup>nd</sup> Ave., Portland, said she would love to be a full-time  
35 resident but she still pays property tax and other taxes in Clatsop County. She  
36 encouraged the Commission to fact check through neutral parties. STRs are an  
37 important component of the housing mix. The Commission should also talk to  
38 tourism professionals about STRs. She had rented to a lot of people who ended  
39 up purchasing or building homes after discovering Clatsop County. One person  
40 who spoke against STRs asked her last week about renting one of her STRs for  
41 a wedding. STRs are also rented by health professionals, people whose homes  
42 are under construction, and a lot of other purposes. She hoped the County would  
43 retain the right to have STRs in the coastal residential zones.



1 Jeff Davis, 79432 Ray Brown Rd., Cove Beach, stated that the 2019 Clatsop  
2 County Housing Strategy Report discouraged STRs in zones that do not have  
3 the infrastructure to accommodate commercial enterprises. He had submitted a  
4 letter that included hyperlinks to articles and studies describing the impacts of  
5 STRs in communities across the country. Clearly, there is a negative impact of  
6 STRs on available housing. STRs have grown dramatically because property  
7 owners can charge more for an STR than they could for a long-term rental. The  
8 additional revenue allows property owners to pay more than the list price. This is  
9 already occurring in Clatsop County. Every turnover from residence to STR  
10 means one less property available for permanent residents. Communities all  
11 across the country have experienced this problem to the detriment of affordable  
12 housing. Allowing unlimited permitting of STRs would result in quality of life  
13 issues and an explosion of homes turned into STRs.

14 Anthony Stewart said he appreciated the standardization and normalization of  
15 the Arch Cape area to bring it in line with the remaining zones. He looked forward  
16 to the May 18<sup>th</sup> work session and would make comments when the zoning  
17 matters come before the Board. He was speaking on behalf of Sheri Ralston and  
18 Justin Casani at 90073 Ocean Drive, Warrenton.

19 John Meyer, 31865 Clatsop Ln., Cove Beach, stated his family had been visiting  
20 the Oregon coast since the 1940s and now own a home in Cove Beach that they  
21 use for family, friends and guests. They are stewards of the good neighbor  
22 policy. He had two decades of experience working with nonprofits to develop  
23 affordable housing. The arguments that STRs reduce affordable housing are  
24 false and are not helpful. He suggested the Commission focus on the ways that  
25 STRs increase affordable housing by contributing to the economic and social  
26 vitality of the community. Workforce housing is not appropriate for south county.  
27 The average value of an STR in Cove Beach and Falcon Cove is over \$1 million.  
28 That is three times the cost of producing affordable workforce housing in north  
29 county. Eliminating STRs in Cove Beach and Falcon Cove would have no effect  
30 on the supply of affordable housing, but would reduce economic growth. The  
31 Harvard Business Review published a study last year that supports this. Fifteen  
32 cities were studied and the study found that STR restrictions reduced property  
33 values by \$2.8 billion and tax revenues by \$40 million annually. The Clatsop  
34 County Assessor estimated that eliminating STRs would reduce the County's  
35 lodging tax revenue by over \$500,000 annually. The loss of revenue to the  
36 commercial establishments would be \$3.7 million annually.

37 Carolyn Crawford, 79136 Tide Rd., Cove Beach, said STRs were not a large  
38 percentage of tourists' choices, but they are an important part of tourism. Some  
39 of the statistics could be skewed, so she asked that the Commission take more  
40 time to evaluate the statistics that apply to Clatsop County. She had owned her  
41 home for over 30 years and had very few complaints. The neighborhood used to  
42 be a united cohesive group that worked together, but it is not that way anymore.  
43 There is a lot of distrust. STR owners are responsible and respond to legitimate  
44 complaints. Full and part-time owners should hold themselves accountable and  
45 they do for the most part. STRs do not get special treatment, but they are held to

1 a higher standard. There has been no degradation in home values. Her home is  
2 one of the smallest homes in Cove Beach and it does not add to the housing  
3 shortage. The home is not affordable. One time, she did rent her house long term  
4 on the recommendation of the Cannon Beach Chamber of Commerce. The  
5 tenant had to be evicted and left the house a complete disaster. The house had  
6 to be completely renovated and the eviction took a ton of the County's time. Five  
7 neighbors helped her for three days to clean up the home.

8 Chair Kujala closed the public hearing.

9 Commissioner Toyooka said a lot of people brought up affordable housing and  
10 he suggested the County do its own research to help out that issue. Oregon  
11 Senate Bill 608 implementing rent controls discouraged a lot of people from  
12 becoming landlords and renting their properties, which added to the lack of rental  
13 properties and affordable housing. There are arguments that STRs raise housing  
14 prices, but these last two years have been unique and there was an exodus from  
15 urban areas to rural areas, which drove prices higher. Currently, the forecast is  
16 another 8 to 11 percent increase in home prices for the remainder of 2022 and  
17 three to eight percent for 2023. That data needs to be added to the research  
18 when discussing affordable housing and future rezoning.

19 Vice Chair Thompson said she heard more general agreement and she believed  
20 the Commission was doing the right thing.

21 Commissioner Bangs stated that she wanted to get this issue off the  
22 Commission's plate because there are larger issues that needed to be  
23 addressed. People are trying to tie two topics together, but the discussion needs  
24 to get away from workforce and affordable housing. People making average  
25 wages cannot afford the current property values in a lot of the STR areas.  
26 Separating the issues would allow the community to better understand what the  
27 County was trying to accomplish.

28 *Motion made by Vice Chair Thompson, Seconded by Commissioner Toyooka to*  
29 *approve Ordinance 22-01 and conduct the second reading by title only.*  
30 *Voting Yea: Commissioner Bangs, Commissioner Toyooka, Commissioner Wev,*  
31 *Vice Chair Thompson, Chair Kujala*  
32

33 County Counsel Lyons-Antley conducted the second reading.

34 14. Short-Term Rental Moratorium Extension #2 {Page 220}

35 Director Henrikson presented the Staff report on the second extension of the  
36 STR moratorium.

37 County Counsel Lyons-Antley said she recommended the Board approve the  
38 moratorium because it provides some legal cover. If the moratorium was not  
39 extended, there would be questions about whether to apply the old standards or  
40 the new standards. Additionally, the zones where STRs will be permitted have  
41 not yet been decided.



1 Vice Chair Thompson stated that she appreciated the expense and  
2 inconvenience for people impacted by the moratorium. However, she wanted to  
3 extend the moratorium until the Commission was ready to move forward.

4 Commissioner Wev said she was uncomfortable with the Board moving forward  
5 with a judicial advisory group in disparate recommendations from Staff. She  
6 wanted the Commission to have direct consultation with Staff and the Planning  
7 Commission about how they landed where they did. She was also uncomfortable  
8 with a County ordinance that blankets all but a very small part of the  
9 unincorporated areas with the same rules. People have considered issues that  
10 the Commission has not, like a percentage of allotments in certain areas. She  
11 believed there were many unlicensed STRs in the northeastern part of the  
12 county. She also believed the attractiveness of STRs would continue to grow.  
13 There is a preponderance of disparate information and she was uncomfortable  
14 with that. She also wanted to hear from the people who sit on the local planning  
15 committees. She was unhappy with the County's inability to take a good look at  
16 the 2020 Census vacant housing numbers, which was a statistic she did not  
17 believe. She was also very uncomfortable with what the County Counsel just  
18 said.

19 Commissioner Bangs stated that she would honor a fellow Commissioner's need  
20 for more information and the requests of Staff stated in a previous meeting.  
21 However, she was very uncomfortable with extensions beyond the end of the  
22 fiscal year. She was currently receiving text messages from concerned  
23 homeowners who have been paying their mortgages since July 2021 and are  
24 stuck because the Commission had not yet made a decision. She would only be  
25 okay with a short extension to the moratorium.

26 Vice Chair Thompson said the Commission had 60 days to the end of the fiscal  
27 year. She asked if County Counsel would be okay with the moratorium expiring  
28 on June 30<sup>th</sup>.

29 Chair Kujala opened the public hearing.

30 Chris Delong, 36687 Christens Ln., Astoria, said he had been paying his  
31 mortgage since July and has yet been able to rent out his STR. He had no idea  
32 there was going to be a moratorium on STRs. He had a lot of work to do to the  
33 house, then went to apply in October and discovered a permit was not available  
34 to him. His investment has just been sitting there. The Commission needs to put  
35 this moratorium to bed because this money is not disposable income for him. The  
36 moratorium is affecting his life. He had written a letter explaining his situation. He  
37 planned to retire in his STR and the problems seemed to be in an isolated area.

38 County Counsel Lyons-Antley stated that one option could be to continue the  
39 hearing to August 26, 2022 and if the Commission makes a decision on the  
40 zoning sooner, the moratorium could be terminated.

41 Denise Davis, 79432 Ray Brown Rd., Cove Beach, said she understood that  
42 many people had finances involved and were waiting for an answer. She was  
43 paying her mortgage as well. She had invested as much in her home as others

1 had invested in their STRs. She agreed with Commissioner Wev's comments  
2 about a one size fits all approach to the unincorporated areas of the county and a  
3 percentage cap on STRs. The lawfulness of permitting STRs in residential zones  
4 has not been addressed and needs to be addressed before the moratorium could  
5 be lifted. She was sure the Commission would take that into consideration when  
6 voting.

7 Chair Kujala closed the public hearing.

8 Commissioner Toyooka said he agreed with Commissioner Bangs. The  
9 Commissioners were elected to facilitate policy, not putting things off. He wanted  
10 the Commission to act and get things done.

11 Commissioner Bangs stated that whether she was to vote on this topic today or  
12 after a moratorium, her vote would not change. She already knew her position  
13 and what her constituents were directing her to do. She preferred to vote now but  
14 would honor the request for more information.

15 Vice Chair Thompson said she could not go forward and appreciated that  
16 Commissioner Bangs reigned in her urge to proceed.

17 *Motion made by Vice Chair Thompson, Seconded by Commissioner Wev to*  
18 *approve the Resolution and Order extending the moratorium on the licensing and*  
19 *processing of new short-term rental applications to August 26, 2022.*

20 *Voting Yea: Commissioner Bangs, Commissioner Toyooka, Commissioner Wev,*  
21 *Vice Chair Thompson, Chair Kujala*

22 County Manager Bohn stated the August date gives Staff more time if needed,  
23 but understood the Board wanted Staff to facilitate a process as expeditiously as  
24 possible.

25 Commissioner Bangs said she would not be willing to have discussions the  
26 Commission has already had about whether something should address the entire  
27 County or a small demographic. However, she would be willing to discuss new  
28 information at the May 18<sup>th</sup> work session. She was frustrated with the  
29 continuation of this process.

30 Chair Kujala believed the Commission was making progress and appreciated  
31 Staff's willingness to expedite the process.

## 32 **GOOD OF THE ORDER**

33 There was nothing for the good of the order.

## 34 **ADJOURNMENT**

35 There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 7:47 pm.

36 Approved by,

37  
38   
39 \_\_\_\_\_  
40 Mark Kujala, Chair